



\$~53

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.A. 478/2023 & CRL.M.A. 16265/2023**

NAYEEM AHMAD KHANAppellant

Through: **Ms. Tara Narula, Adv.**

versus

NATIONAL INVESTIGATION AGENCYRespondent

Through: **Mr. Sidharth Luthra, Sr. Adv.**
with Mr. Akshai Malik, Mr.
Ayush Agarwal, Mr. Karl P.
Rustom, Mr. Udbhav Sinha,
Mr. Siddharth Sinha and Mr.
Yatharth Sharma, Advs.
Mr. B.B. Pathak, DSP and Mr.
Abhishek Kumar, DSP

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINDER KAUR

ORDER

% **19.12.2024**

1. The learned counsel for the appellant concedes to the objection of the respondent that the present appeal, insofar as it challenges the Order dated 27.01.2023 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-03 (Special Judge), Patiala House Courts, New Delhi, attaching the immovable properties of the appellant herein, would be an interlocutory order and therefore, the appeal would not be maintainable.

2. Though the learned counsel for the appellant submits that the present appeal be treated as a petition under Section 528 of Bharatiya



Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, we are of the opinion that the present appeal be disposed of as withdrawn, with liberty to the appellant to avail of his remedies in accordance with law.

3. The appeal is, accordingly, disposed of as withdrawn, with liberty as above.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

SHALINDER KAUR, J

DECEMBER 19, 2024

SU/SK/as

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)