



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **BAIL APPLN. 1807/2022**
MANGE RAM KAUSHIK Applicant
Through: Mr. Abhishek Gupta, proxy
counsel

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR. Respondents
Through: Mr. Aman Usman, APP with
Insp. Sandeep Kumar.
Mr. Shivender Gupta,
Mr. Prakhar Bhardwaj, Adv.
for R-2.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

% **ORDER**
29.04.2024

1. This application has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (In short, 'Cr.P.C.'), seeking grant of Anticipatory Bail in FIR no.199/2022, registered with Police Station: Vasant Kunj, South-West District, Delhi under Sections 147/149/150/427/379/307/452/201/506/120B/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (In short, 'IPC').
2. This Court by the Order dated 08.06.2022 has granted *interim* protection in favour of the Applicant, observing as under:

"1. The present bail application has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. on behalf of the applicant seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 199/2022 registered under Sections 147/149/150/427/379/307/452/201/506/120B/34 IPC at Police Station Vasant Kunj South,



Delhi.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that a civil suit is pending between the parties and the applicant is not even named in the FIR. He also submits that the applicant was not even present in Delhi on the alleged date of the incident.

3. Issue notice.

4. Learned APP for the State accepts notice and seeks some time to file the Status Report.

5. Let the same be filed before the next date of hearing, which shall also indicate the previous involvements of the applicant, if any.

6. List on 18th July, 2022.

7. Till then, no coercive action be taken against the present applicant.”

3. Thereafter, the Applicant has been taking repeated adjournments on the dates of hearing on 01.09.2023, 19.12.2023, and again on 30.01.2024.
4. Today again, a request for adjournment is made on behalf of the Applicant.
5. In my view, the Applicant has been given ample opportunities to make submissions and the matter has also been called up three times during the course of the day.
6. The learned APP points out that after obtaining the *interim* protection Order from this Court, the petitioner has not been cooperating with the investigation and has not produced the relevant documents.
7. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant was hospitalized since 25.04.2024. This would however not explain the earlier default in not cooperating in the investigation.



8. Accordingly, this Court has no other option but to dismiss the present application.
9. The Bail Application is, accordingly, dismissed.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

APRIL 29, 2024
RN/AS

Click here to check corrigendum, if any