



\$~29

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **MAT.APP.(F.C.) 134/2024**

**HEMANT BADHANI**

..... Appellant

Through: Mr Gaurav Kumar, Ms Sutapa Ghose  
and Mr Rahul, Advocates.

versus

**SHIKHA BADHANI**

..... Respondent

Through: None.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL**

**ORDER**

**24.04.2024**

%

**[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]**

**CM APPL. 23363/2024**

1. Allowed, subject to just exceptions

**MAT.APP.(F.C.) 134/2024 & CM APPL. 23362/2024** *[Application filed  
on behalf of the appellant seeking interim relief]*

2. After some arguments, Mr Gaurav Kumar, learned counsel, who  
appears on behalf of the appellant says he does not wish to press the appeal.

3. That said, the only leeway the appellant seeks, according to Mr  
Kumar, is to grant extension of time to comply with the impugned judgment  
and order.

4. As per the impugned judgment and order dated 01.03.2024, the  
appellant was granted 45 days to liquidate the arrears concerning

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 134/2024

Page 1 of 2



maintenance as awarded, which commenced from the date of the institution of the application for interim maintenance under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 [in short, “HMA”], till disposal of the main petition, i.e., the divorce petition.

5. We are inclined to grant further four (4) weeks to clear the arrears. It is ordered accordingly.
6. In case the respondent has grievance *qua* the extension of time granted, she will have liberty to move the Court.
7. The Registry will dispatch a copy of the order passed today to the respondent and the concerned Family Court.
8. The appeal is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.
9. Consequently, CM APPL. 23362/2024 shall stand closed.

**RAJIV SHAKDHER, J**

**AMIT BANSAL, J**

**APRIL 24, 2024**

*at*

*Click here to check corrigendum, if any*