



\$~67

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 3116/2024**

**RISHITA KHATRI**

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. N. Prabhakar, Advocate  
alongwith petitioner in person.

versus

**STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS** ..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP for the State  
with SI Kishor Prasad, P.S. Vasant  
Kunj, North.  
Respondent no. 2 and 3 in person.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA**

**ORDER**

**24.04.2024**

%

**CRL.M.A. 12053/2024 (Exemption)**

1. Exemption allowed, subject to just exceptions.
2. The application is accordingly disposed of.

**CRL.M.C. 3116/2024**

3. The present petition under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. seeks quashing of FIR No. 380/2023, under Sections 279/337 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Vasant Kunj, North, Delhi.
4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that during the pendency of the investigation, the latter has entered into a settlement with respondent no. 2 and 3 *vide* Memorandum of settlement dated 02.01.2024, whereby, the compensation amount of Rs. 1,50,000/- has already been paid by the petitioner to respondents no. 2 and 3.



5. The Petitioner and respondent no. 2 and 3 are present before the Court and have been duly identified by the Investigating Officer SI Kishor Prasad, P.S. Vasant Kunj, North.

6. Respondent no. 2 and 3 state that the matter has been settled with the petitioner and they have no objection if the FIR is quashed. They further state that they have received the aforesaid amount of Rs. 1,50,000/- as compensation.

7. Learned APP for the State submits that investigation in the present FIR is pending and chargesheet is yet to be filed. In view of the settlement between the parties, learned APP for the State also has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

8. In *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab*, (2012) 10 SCC 303, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has recognized the need of amicable resolution of disputes by observing as under:-

“61. ... In other words, the High Court must consider whether it would be unfair or contrary to the interest of justice to continue with the criminal proceedings or continuation of criminal proceedings would tantamount to abuse of process of law despite settlement and compromise between the victim and the wrongdoer and whether to secure the ends of justice, it is appropriate that criminal case is put to an end and if the answer to the above question(s) is in the affirmative, the High Court shall be well within its jurisdiction to quash the criminal proceeding.”

9. In view of the aforesaid circumstances, and the fact that the parties have put a quietus to the dispute, no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the present FIR No. 380/2023, under Sections 279/337 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Vasant Kunj, North, Delhi.

10. In the interest of justice, the petition is allowed, and the FIR No. 380/2023, under Sections 279/337 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Vasant



Kunj, North Delhi, is hereby quashed.

11. Petition is allowed and disposed of accordingly.
12. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

**AMIT SHARMA, J**

**APRIL 24, 2024/bsr**

*Click here to check corrigendum, if any*