



\$~105

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 3106/2024 & CRL.M.A. 12027/2024**

ANKUR GUPTA AND ANR

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Adesh and Mr. Anil, Advocate
with petitioners in person.

versus

STATE OF NCT & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP for State
with SI Vinay PS Laxmi Nagar,
Delhi.

Ms. Nidhi Rajoura, Advocate for
respondent No.2 with respondent
No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

%

24.04.2024

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No. 0391/2022 registered under Sections 498-A/406/34 IPC and Section 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 at P.S. Laxmi Nagar, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No. 1 (husband) and petitioner Nos. 2 and 6 are the in-laws of the complainant.
3. Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, learned APP for the State submits that in the present case petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have settled their disputes before Delhi Mediation Centre, Karkardooma Courts,



Delhi on 21.09.2023. In terms of the settlement, the parties have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 07.03.2024 passed by the Family Court, East District, Karkardooma Court, Delhi in HMA No. 463/2024. It was agreed that a sum of Rs.22,00,000/- as full and final settlement shall be paid by petitioner No. 1 to respondent No. 2 towards her claims qua maintenance, stridhan, alimony, etc. It is further submitted that out of the settled amount of Rs.22,00,000/-, remaining balance amount of Rs.7,00,000/- is being paid today through a demand draft dated 18.04.2024 bearing number 164882 drawn on State Bank of India.

5. Petitioners and respondent No.2, who are present in Court, have been identified by their counsel as well as by I.O./ SI Vinay PS Laxmi Nagar, Delhi.

6. Respondent No. 2, who is also present in Court and identified by the I.O., states that she has settled her disputes with petitioner No.1 of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She also acknowledges the receipt of entire settled amount and submits that she has no objection in case the FIR is quashed against the petitioners subject to encashment of the demand draft of Rs.7,00,000/- handed over to her today.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements and undertaking made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed, subject to encashment of aforesaid demand draft of Rs.7 lac.



10. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of alongwith miscellaneous application.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

APRIL 24, 2024/rd