



\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ BAIL APPLN. 1424/2024
HARSHDEEP THAKURApplicant

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Malik, Mr.
Rana Kunal, Ms. Shivani
Mehta, Mr. Madan Gopal
Tiwari & Mr. Vipin
Kumar, Advs.

versus

STATERespondent

Through: Mr. Ajay Vikram Singh,
APP for the State
SI Vikas, PS- Special Cell

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

% **ORDER**
13.08.2024

1. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State submits that there are certain material witnesses which are yet to be examined.
2. He submits that the land lady, at whose premises, the equipment/ tools used for printing the fake currency, were recovered, had disclosed that the applicant was paying the rent for the premises.
3. He submits that the land lady is yet to be examined and is a material witness.
4. He submits that all the material witnesses are likely to be examined within a period of five to six months.
5. In view of the aforesaid, the learned counsel for the applicant seeks liberty to withdraw the present bail application



and file afresh after examination of the material witnesses.

6. The bail application stands dismissed as withdrawn.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

AUGUST 13, 2024

“SS”