



\$~4

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

FAO (COMM) 89/2022 & CM APPL. 26542/2022

M/S RAJRANI HOSPITALITIES PVT LTD.Appellant

Through: Ms Aakanksha Kaul, Mr Aman
Sahani and Ms Rhea Borkotoky,
Advocates.

versus

M/S BRIGHT HOSPITALITIES PVT LTD.Respondent

Through: Mr Shaurya Sharma, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

09.08.2024

%

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

1. This appeal is directed against the orders dated 18.08.2021, 08.11.2021 and the judgment dated 28.02.2022 [hereinafter referred to as, "impugned judgment and orders"].
2. Ms Aakanksha Kaul, learned counsel, who appears on behalf of the appellant, says that since the main dispute between the parties has now been adjudicated by an arbitrator, this appeal can be closed.
 - 2.1 The statement of Ms Kaul is taken on record.
3. Ms Kaul, however, expresses concern with regard to the contempt proceedings initiated against the appellant by the respondent.
 - 3.1 It is Ms Kaul's submission that at present, there is no infraction as alleged concerning the impugned judgment and orders.
4. The appeal is closed without prejudice to the rights of the appellant to



put forth its defence in the contempt action which is pending adjudication before the learned Single Judge.

4.1 Consequently, the pending application also stands disposed of.

5. Needless to emphasise that the closure of the instant appeal will not prejudice the defence of the appellant in the contempt action.

6. The interim order dated 31.05.2022 shall stand vacated.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

AMIT BANSAL, J

AUGUST 9, 2024/ *PB*

Click here to check corrigendum, if any