



\$~68

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 5754/2024**

MR. RAVI VARANASI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Waseem Pangarkar, Mr. Swapnil
Srivastava and Mr. Jayesh Srivastava,
Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Ashish K. Dixit, Mr. Shivam
Tiwari, Advocate for R-1.
Mr. Ravi Sharma, SPP with
Mr. Premtosh K. Mishra,
Mr. Praphull Kumar, Mr. Ishann
Bhardwaj and Ms. Madhulika Rai
Sharma, Advocates for CBI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER

%

19.09.2024

**W.P.(C) 5754/2024, CM APPL. 53794/2024 (seeking permission to travel
abroad to London, United Kingdom from 20th September, 2024 to 9th
October, 2024)**

1. The Petitioner has filed the instant petition, challenging the Look Out Circular (LOC) issued against him by the Respondents on the basis of FIR bearing No. RC2212022E0030 registered by the Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI) on 7th June, 2022.
2. The Court is apprised that the investigation in the aforesaid FIR is complete and chargesheet has been filed before the Special Judge for CBI



Cases, arraigning the Petitioner as an accused.

3. Considering the aforesaid circumstances, the Court is of the opinion that in view of the judgement of this Court in *Sumer Singh Salkan v. Asstt. Director*,¹ the question regarding the legality of the LOCs has to be adjudicated by the trial court where the Petitioner's case is pending, *i.e.*, the CBI Court. Therefore, the Petitioner should approach the said court to make a request for permission to travel abroad or for cancellation of the impugned LOC.

4. The Court has not examined the merits of the case. All rights and contentions of the parties are left open.

5. The present petition is disposed of with a liberty to the Petitioner to take recourse against the impugned LOC before the concerned court.

6. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of along with pending application(s).

SANJEEV NARULA, J

SEPTEMBER 19, 2024

nk

¹ 2010 SCC OnLine Del 2699.