



\$~45

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **FAO(OS) (COMM) 141/2022 and CM APPL. 26163/2022**

KASHISH UPPAL & ANR.

..... Appellants

Through: Mr Kumar Shashank, Mr Piyush
Tonk, Mr Nivesh Kumar and Mr
Nitish Rai, Advocates.

Versus

SHRIRAM HOUSING FINANCE LTD & ANR. Respondents

Through: Mr. Shahrukh Inam, Adv. with Ms.
Usha Singh, Adv. and Mr. Sanjeev
Sharma, (AR) for R-1.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

12.03.2024

%

1. After some arguments, the learned counsel appearing for the appellants seeks to withdraw the present appeal. He, however, requests that no precipitate action be taken for evicting the appellants and their family for a period of four weeks from today to enable them to make alternate arrangements.
2. The appeal and the pending application are dismissed as withdrawn.
3. Although, the appellants have withdrawn the present appeal, we consider it apposite to exceed to the appellants' request to enable them some time to make alternate arrangements.
4. Accordingly, it is directed that no precipitate/coercive action be taken



against the appellants for a period of four weeks from today.

5. All rights and contentions of the parties are reserved.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

MARCH 12, 2024/SA