



\$~83

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.M.C. 3048/2024, CRL.M.A. 11762/2024

RAM PADARATH & ANR.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Birendra Kumar, Advocate with
petitioners in person.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP for State
with SI Nitish Kumar, P.S.
Mangolpuri.
Mr. Pankaj Kumar Dubey, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 2 and 3 with
respondent Nos. 2 and 3 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

23.04.2024

%

1. The present proceedings are instituted under Section 482 Cr.P.C. on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No. 31/2022 registered under Sections 323/341/506/34 IPC at P.S. Mangolpuri, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, the petitioners hurled abuses and gave beatings to the complainant as a result of which injuries were sustained.
3. Mr. Jha, learned APP for the State, on instructions, submits that the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent Nos. 2 and 3 are the complainants/victims in the present case.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners and respondent No. 2 are related to each other as petitioner Nos. 1 and 2 are



father-in-law and wife of respondent No.2 and present FIR was registered due to some misunderstanding and with the intervention of family members and friends, parties have amicably settled their disputes before the Delhi Mediation Centre, Rohini District, Delhi on 29.04.2023, a copy of which has been placed on record.

5. The petitioners and respondent Nos.2 and 3, who are present in Court, have been identified by their respective counsel as well as the I.O./SI Nitish Kumar, P.S. Mangolpuri, Delhi. Petitioners have shown remorse for their conduct and undertake not to repeat the same in future.

6. Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 state that they have entered into the settlement with the petitioners out of their own free will, volition and without any coercion. They further state that they have no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

8. In view of the above facts and considering that no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

9. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of alongwith miscellaneous application.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

APRIL 23, 2024

ga