



\$~4

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

ARB. A. (COMM.) 24/2023 & I.A. 10477/2023 & I.A. 10478/2023

M/S PROTO-D-ENGINEERING AND ORS.Petitioners

Through: Ms. Ranu Purohit and Mr. Yashas
R.K., Advocates.

versus

CLIX CAPITAL SERVICES PVT LTD (FORMERLY KNOWN AS
MS CLIX FINANCE INDIA PVT LTD)Respondent

Through: Mr. Shikhar Mittal, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

30.09.2024

%

1. The present appeal under Section 37(2)(b) of Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 read with Section 13 of the Commercial Courts Act, 2015 has been filed challenging the Order dated 12.09.2022 passed by the Ld. Sole Arbitrator under Section 17 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.

2. It is stated by the learned Counsel for the Respondent that vide Order dated 05.09.2024, the Ld. Sole Arbitrator has withdrawn from the arbitration proceedings and, therefore, all the Interim Orders passed by the Ld. Sole Arbitrator automatically stands vacated.

3. In view of the above, the present appeal is disposed of as having become infructuous, along with pending application(s), if any.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

SEPTEMBER 30, 2024

S. Zakir