



\$~18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(CRL) 1199/2024**

SH SURAJ

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Himanshu Aulluck, Mr. Ritik
Sharma, Mr. Devansh Bhargava & Mr.
Rishabh Raj, Advs.. with Petitioner in
person.

versus

THE STATE AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel
(Crl.) with Ms. Priyam Aggarwal and
Mr. Abhinav Kumar Arya, Advs. for
State with Insp. Sunil and SI Sachin
Yadav PS Ashok Vihar.
Mr. Sudhir Kumar Shukla, Mr. Sudhir
and Mr. Atik Gill and Ms. Kusum,
Adv. with the girl in person.

CORAM:

JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH

JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

%

27.08.2024

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.

CRL.M.A.11587/2024 (for exemption)

2. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions. Application is disposed of.

W.P.(CRL) 1199/2024

3. Vide order dated 26th July, 2024, this Court directed as follows:

“8. Under these circumstances, notices be issued to the Respondent No.3- Sh. Rambriksh Gaur, Respondent No.4- Sh. Govind Gaur and Respondent No.5- Sh. Jai Hind Gaur. In addition, notice be also issued to the mother- Asha Devi, brother- Parvindh Gaur and to the sister- Priyanka Gaur with a clear direction to produce the girl on the next date.



Notices on the aforesaid family members shall be served through the SHOs concerned. The concerned SSP in Gorakhpur/Lucknow shall render assistance to the Delhi Police in complying the aforesaid order.

9. If Ms. Gaur is not produced on the next date of hearing, the authorities shall ensure that three of the family members appear before the Court.”

4. Today, the girl, Ms. Nansy Gaur, has appeared before the Court along with her sister, Ms. Priyanka Gaur, and Mr. Manoj Gaur, brother-in-law, as also Mr. Parvind Gaur, the elder brother.

5. She states that she does not want to join the company of the Petitioner, her husband i.e., Mr. Suraj, and she wants to live with her parents. It is further submitted that Mr. Suraj has uploaded certain videos and clippings on social media. Same allegations are also made by Mr. Suraj against the family members of his wife as well.

6. Heard. This Court directs that both the parties and their concerned family members shall remove/take down any videos, images, photos etc. that may have been uploaded against each other or their family members on social media platforms.

7. In view of the stand taken Ms. Nansy Gaur, the Petitioner does not wish to press the present petition.

8. The present petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

9. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J.

AMIT SHARMA, J.

AUGUST 27, 2024/dk/dn