



\$~mention

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1172/2024**

PURAN PRASAD

..... Petitioner

Through: None

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Rahul Tyagi, ASC for the State
with SI Raghubir Prasad, P.S. Khyala

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

29.04.2024

%

1. The matter is taken up on mentioning by learned ASC for the State, who submits on instructions from the IO, that inadvertently, it has been mentioned in order dated 16.04.2024 that the petition be treated as representation by the DCP concerned, whereas this Court had ordered that the petition be treated as representation by the jail authority/competent authority.

2. Ordered accordingly.

3. Para no. 7 of order dated 16.04.2024 will now reads as under:

“7. In these circumstances, let this petition be treated as representation on behalf of the petitioner and the jail authority/competent authority is directed to look into the allegations and pass order as it deem appropriate, within 15 days under intimation to the petitioner herein.”



4. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

APRIL 29, 2024/ns

SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J