



\$~71

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

Date of Decision: 23.10.2024

+ **W.P.(C) 4405/2020 & CM APPL. 15868/2020**

JOSH MEDIA VISION PVT LTD & ANR.Petitioners

Through: Mr. Abhishek Malhotra, Adv. with
Ms. Sonal Chhablani and Mr.
Yashwardhan Singh, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA THROUGH THE SECRETARY, MINISTRY
OF INFORMATION BROADCASTING & ANR.Respondents

Through: Ms. Monika Arora, CGSC with Mr.
Subhrodeep Saha and Ms Radhika
Kundukar, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J.: (Oral)

1. Learned Counsel for the Petitioners points out that paragraph 3 of the order dated 07.10.2024 contains a typographical error.
 - 1.1 Let the said date in paragraph 3 of the order dated 07.10.2024 be read as '**15.09.2021**'.
2. Learned Counsel for the Petitioners submits that the Petitioner has already applied afresh to the Respondent No.1 on 02.06.2021. He also submits that he has confined his prayers in the present Petition to a timely adjudication of this Application filed by him. Learned Counsel for the Respondents submits that the Petitioners can approach Respondent No.1 for a resolution of his grievance.
3. Accordingly, with the consent of the parties, the matter is taken up for



hearing and disposal today.

4. The following directions are passed:

4.1 The Respondent No.1 is directed to treat the Application as a Representation and decide the same by a speaking order as directed herein.

4.2 The Petitioners and/or their authorised representative will be given an opportunity to be present for a hearing before Respondent No.1. The Petitioners will appear for the personal hearing before the Respondent No.1 at a mutual convenient date and time.

4.3 The Petitioners are permitted to produce any additional facts or documents in support of their contentions, at the time of hearing. In the event, if it is deemed necessary, that there is a need for more than one hearing, the Petitioners and Respondent No.1 may mutually schedule such additional hearings amongst themselves as well.

4.4 The Respondent No.1 shall pass a Speaking Order as expeditiously as possible and no later than eight weeks from the date of conclusion of hearing.

4.5 The Speaking Order shall be communicated to the Petitioners under acknowledged postal service and e-mail.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case as the order has been passed without prejudice to the rights and contentions of both parties. All rights and contentions of the parties are left open in this regard.

6. The Writ Petition and all pending Applications are disposed of with the aforesaid directions.

7. Needless to add, that in the event the Petitioners are aggrieved with the order passed by the Respondent No.1, they may take appropriate steps in



accordance with the law.

8. In the meantime, the operation of the Impugned Orders dated 27.08.2019 and 13.07.2020 shall remain in abeyance until two weeks after the final order is passed by the Respondent No.1.

9. Parties will act based on the digitally signed copy of the order.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

OCTOBER 23, 2024/SA

Click here to check corrigendum, if any