



\$~76 to 78

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 1166/2024 & CRL.M.A. 11292/2024

M/S PARIJAT INDUSTRIES INDIA PVT LTD

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Chirag Khurana, Mr.
Abhishek Verma & Mr.
Raghwendra Tiwari, Advs.

versus

COMMISSIONER OF POLICE AND OTHERS & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Anand V. Khatri, ASC
(Crl.) for the State.
SI Narender, PS CR Park.

+ W.P.(CRL) 1167/2024 & CRL.M.A. 11293/2024

PARIJAT INDUSTRIES INDIA PVT LTD Petitioner

Through: Mr. Chirag Khurana, Mr.
Abhishek Verma & Mr.
Raghwendra Tiwari, Advs.

versus

COMMISSIONER OF POLICE AND ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Rahul Tyagi, ASC
(Crl.) for the State along
with Ms. Priya Rai, Mr.
Sangeet Sibou, Mr. Jatin,
Mr. Mathew M. Philip,
Mr. Durga Dass & Mr.
Harsh Sehrawat, Advs.
SI Narender, PS CR Park.

+ W.P.(CRL) 1168/2024 & CRL.M.A. 11294/2024

PARIJAT INDUSTRIES INDIA PVT LTD Petitioner

Through: Mr. Chirag Khurana, Mr.
Abhishek Verma & Mr.
Raghwendra Tiwari, Advs.



versus

COMMISSIONER OF POLICE AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Rahul Tyagi, ASC
(CrI.) for the State along
with Ms. Priya Rai, Mr.
Sangeet Sibou, Mr. Jatin,
Mr. Mathew M. Philip,
Mr. Durga Dass & Mr.
Harsh Sehrawat, Adv.
SI Narender, PS CR Park.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

15.04.2024

1. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that accused persons, namely, Vaida Bhoopathi, Ashok Marutrao Chaudhari and J. Janaiaha were declared as Proclaimed Offenders in complaint case No. 12165/2019, 9507/2019 & 8182/2017 respectively. He submits that no FIR has been registered by the respondent authorities for offence under Section 174A of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (IPC) and the accused persons, Vaida Bhoopati, Ashok Marutrao Chaudhari and J. Janaiaha are roaming freely.
2. The learned counsel further submits that the said accused persons are residing at the address provided by the petitioner in the present petitions. He submits that the respondent authorities are not taking any action against the accused persons. He further submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if the present petitions are treated as representation and appropriate action is taken by the respondent authorities.
3. In view of the above, the present petitions are disposed of with a direction to the respondent authorities to treat the present petitions as representation and take an action in accordance with



the law.

4. No further orders are required to be passed at this stage.
5. Needless to state that the petitioners are a liberty to file an appropriate proceeding in case any grievance remains.
6. A copy of this order be kept in all the matters.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

APRIL 15, 2024
“SK”