



\$~47

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **RC.REV. 142/2023 & CM APPL. 27154/2023, CM APPL. 1869/2024**
GOPALPetitioner

Through: None.

versus

VIJAY SHANKARRespondent
Through: Ms. Sonali Malhotra, Ms. Sakshi
Singh, Ms. Ritika Sharma, Advocates
with son of the respondent in person

CORAM:
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SUDHIR KUMAR JAIN

ORDER

% **25.07.2024**

1. None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner when the case was called for hearing at 03:25 pm.
2. Ms. Sonali Malhotra, Advocate for the respondent stated on instructions, that the possession of the tenanted premises has already been restored to the respondent/landlord in the execution proceedings of warrant of attachment.
3. It appears that the petitioner is not interested in the prosecution of the case. Accordingly, the present petition along with pending applications is dismissed in default as well as for want of prosecution.

DR. SUDHIR KUMAR JAIN, J

JULY 25, 2024/j/am