



\$~46

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(OS) 287/2021**
DR MANISH BANSALPlaintiff

Through: Mr. Ghanshyam Joshi and Mr. Chirag
Joshi, Advocates

Versus

DR SANJIV BANSAL & ORS.Defendant

Through: Mr. Manish Kaushik and Mr. Ajit
Singh, Advocates for D-1 & D-2
Mr. Demandeep Singh, Advocate for
D-9

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER
09.10.2024

%

**I.A. 41978/2024 (under Section 151 read with Section 152 of the CPC
filed on behalf of D-1 & D-2 filed on behalf of defendant no. 9)**

1. By way of the present application, defendant no. 9/applicant prays for correction of typographical error. He submits that in the order dated 05.09.2024 instead of recording the presence of counsel for defendant no. 9, the names of the plaintiff and the defendant no.1 have been mentioned as counsels for defendant no. 9. The application is not opposed by the learned counsels appearing on behalf of the non-applicants.

2. In view of the above, appearance of the counsel for defendant no. 9 is corrected in the order dated 05.09.2024. The names of Mr. Krishnayan Sen and Mr. Damandeep Singh Bhalla, Advocates shall be read as counsels for



defendant no. 9 in the attendance of order dated 05.09.2024.

3. The application is disposed of.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

OCTOBER 9, 2024/‘rs’