



\$~76

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ **CRL.M.C. 2875/2024**  
**DINESH SAINI AND OTHERS** ..... Petitioners  
Through: Mr.V.K. Mahato, Advocate with  
petitioners in person

versus

**THE STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.** ..... Respondents  
Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP for State  
with SI Sushil Kr.  
Mr.Divakar Upadhyaya, Advocate for respondent  
No.2 with respondent No.2 in person

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI**

**ORDER**

% **10.04.2024**

**CRL.M.A. 10978/2024 (exemption)**

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application is disposed of.

**CRL.M.C. 2875/2024**

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.748/2021 registered under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC at P.S. Kalkaji, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No.1 (husband) whereas petitioner Nos.2 to 3 are the in-laws of the complainant.
3. Learned APP for the State submits that the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim.



4. Learned counsels for the parties submit that the parties have settled their dispute vide Settlement dated 22.07.2023 arrived at before Family Court, Karkardooma Court, Delhi. It is stated that petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 29.11.2023 passed by Family Court-02, East, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi in HMA No.2215/2023. It is further submitted that out of the settlement amount, the balance amount of Rs.2,40,000/- is being paid today through demand draft bearing No.536166 dated 18.03.2024 drawn on Punjab National Bank, Mayur Vihar-II, Delhi -110091. In terms of the settlement, respondent No. 2 is now left with no claim whatsoever against the petitioners.

5. The petitioners and respondent No.2, who are present in the Court, have been identified by their respective counsels and the Investigating Officer.

6. Respondent No. 2 states that she has entered into the aforesaid settlement with her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed subject to encashment of the aforesaid demand draft handed over to her today.

7. Learned counsels for the parties submit that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the



aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed subject to encashment of the aforesaid demand draft.

10. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

**MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J**

**APRIL 10, 2024**

na