



\$~5

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 5161/2024**

BRIJ MOHAN

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sudhanshu, Advocate.
versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Aditya Vaibhav Singh, Advocate
for MCD

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

16.05.2024

%

1. The present petition raises a grievance as regards alleged unauthorized construction in property bearing No. D-3, near Balaji Dharamshala Gali, main Market, Shakarpur, Delhi-110092 admeasuring 150 sq. yds.
2. Learned counsel for MCD, appearing on advance notice, submits that the complaint of the petitioner *qua* the property in question has been examined and it has been found that a sanction plan has already been issued *qua* the said construction. As such, at this stage, it cannot be said that the ongoing construction is unauthorised.
3. At this stage, in view of the aforesaid, learned counsel for the petitioner confines himself to seeking that the complaint/ representation made by the petitioner to the MCD dated 13.03.2024 filed as Annexure P-3 to the present petition be duly considered and disposed of by the MCD, by passing a reasoned order after inspecting the property in question. It is directed accordingly. Let a reasoned order be passed by the MCD on the



said complaint within a period of four weeks from today after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

4. The petition stands disposed of in terms of the above directions.

SACHIN DATTA, J

MAY 16, 2024

PB