



\$~128

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 597/2024 & CM APPL. 21114/2024**

ZYDUS WELLNESS PRODUCTS LTD. Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sagar Chandra, Ms. Shubhie
Wahi, Ms. Ankita Seth, Advocates
(M:8130910708)

versus

MR REVANT HIMATSINGKA Respondent

Through: Mr. Nakul Gandhi, Mr. Ramandeep
Bawa, Mr. Deepak Sharma, Mr.
Mujeeb Rehman, Advocates
(M:9873485820)

**CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MINI PUSHKARNA**

**ORDER
25.04.2024**

%

1. Learned counsel for petitioner has drawn the attention of this Court to the E-mail dated 20th April, 2024 that was sent by the respondent to the petitioner, pursuant to the directions passed by this Court with respect to the respondent tendering an apology to the petitioner, via E-mail.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the E-mail dated 20th April, 2024 written by the respondent cannot be considered to be an E-mail tendering an apology. He further submits that the respondent is rather justifying his actions in the said E-mail.
3. Per contra, learned counsel appearing for the respondent submits that the apology tendered by the respondent vide E-mail dated 20th April, 2024 is



unconditional. He further submits that it is only with a view to protect his interests that the respondent has not admitted to any allegations contained in the contempt petition, thus, the same has been indicated in the aforesaid E-mail.

4. Learned counsel for respondent has also handed over a copy of the E-mail dated 25th April, 2024 received by the respondent from YouTube, wherein the YouTube has confirmed the fact that the incriminating video has already been deleted. Thus, he submits that the concern raised by the petitioner on the last date of hearing with respect to the video being available for private viewing, is totally misplaced.

5. Having heard learned counsels for the parties, this Court is of the view that when an apology is given by a party, the same has to be unconditional, with no explanation and justification for the act done. Thus, this Court does not accept the fact that the E-mail dated 20th April, 2024 written by the respondent to the petitioner, constitutes an unconditional apology.

6. At this stage, learned counsel for the respondent submits that if that be the case, in order to show his bona fide, last Paragraph of the E-mail dated 20th April, 2024, may be considered to be deleted.

7. Accordingly, the last Paragraph of the E-mail dated 20th April, 2024 written by the respondent to the petitioner, is hereby expunged. This Court accepts the unconditional apology tendered by the respondent.

8. This Court also takes note of the fact that the incriminating video that had been uploaded by the respondent has since been removed and the same has also been confirmed by the YouTube vide its E-mail dated 25th April, 2024.



9. With the aforesaid directions, the present contempt petition is disposed of, along with pending application. However, the respondent is cautioned and warned to be careful in the future and to honor the judicial orders passed by the Courts.

MINI PUSHKARNA, J

APRIL 25, 2024
au