



\$~20

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 2220/2022**
VIKASH KHATRI @ VISHAL KHATRI & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr.Ankit Khatri, Adv. (through
VC)

versus

THE STATE & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr.Aman Usman, APP with
ASI Anil Kumar and SI
Sandeep
Respondent no.2 through VC.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

ORDER

22.04.2024

%

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (in short, 'Cr.P.C.') seeking quashing of FIR No.429/2019 registered at Police Station: Kanjhawala, Delhi under Sections 394/341/323/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (in short, 'IPC'), along with all other proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of a settlement.
2. The petitioners and the respondent no.2 reside in the same locality and the disputes arose out of some petty issues between the parties, which led to the filing of the above FIR.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties, that is, the petitioners and the respondent no.2 have amicably settled their *inter se* disputes and pursuant to the same, the respondent no.2 has



filed his affidavit giving his consent for quashing of the abovementioned FIR.

4. The respondent no.2 appears virtually and has been duly identified by the Investigating Officer ('IO'). He reaffirms the abovementioned settlement and states that he has settled all the disputes with the petitioners out of his own free will and without any coercion. The respondent no.2 submits that he has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

5. I have perused the contents of the FIR, and Charge Sheet.

6. Keeping in view the fact that the disputes have been amicably settled between the petitioners and the respondent no.2 and the respondent no.2 does not wish to pursue his complaint any further, I find that no useful purpose shall be served in continuing with the proceedings of the present FIR as it would create further acrimony between the parties and will be an unnecessary burden on the State exchequer.

7. Guided by the principles enunciated by the Supreme Court in its judgments in *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab*, (2012) 10 SCC 303; *Parbatbhai Aahir @ Parbatbhai Bhimsinbhai Karmur & Ors. v. State of Gujarat & Ors.* (2017) 9 SCC 641; and, *State of Haryana & Ors. v. Bhajan Lal & Ors.* 1992 Supp (1) SCC 335, this Court deems it appropriate, in the interest of justice, to exercise its inherent powers under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. to quash the FIR and all the proceedings emanating therefrom.

8. Accordingly, the petition is allowed. Consequently FIR No.429/2019 registered at Police Station: Kanjhawala, Delhi under



Sections 394/341/323/506/34 of the IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom against the petitioners are quashed, subject to the condition that the petitioners shall deposit costs of Rs.50,000/- each with the “*The Blind Relief Association, Delhi*” State Bank of India, IOC Branch, Lodhi Road, New Delhi, Account No.30003044419, IFSC Code: SBIN0006564, within a period of four weeks from today, and file proof of such deposit with the Registry of this Court and also supply a copy thereof to the IO, within the said period.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

APRIL 22, 2024/ns/RP

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)