



\$~19 to 22

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

19

+ CRL.L.P. 251/2023

RACHANA AGARWAL Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ashim Vachher, Mr. Vaibhav Dabas, Mr. Kunal Lakra & Mr. Vinayak Uniyal, Advocates.

versus

KELTECH INFRASTRUCTURE LIMITED Respondent

Through: Mr. Niraj Kumar Singh, Advocate (through VC).

20

+ CRL.L.P. 255/2023

RACHANA AGARWAL Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ashim Vachher, Mr. Vaibhav Dabas, Mr. Kunal Lakra & Mr. Vinayak Uniyal, Advocates.

versus

KELTECH INFRASTRUCTURE LIMITED Respondent

Through: Mr. Niraj Kumar Singh, Advocate (through VC).

21

+ CRL.L.P. 261/2023

RACHANA AGARWAL Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ashim Vachher, Mr. Vaibhav Dabas, Mr. Kunal Lakra & Mr. Vinayak Uniyal, Advocates.

versus

KELTECH INFRASTRUCTURE LIMITED & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Niraj Kumar Singh, Advocate



(through VC).

22

+ CRL.L.P. 266/2023

RACHANA AGARWAL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ashim Vachher, Mr. Vaibhav Dabas, Mr. Kunal Lakra & Mr. Vinayak Uniyal, Advocates.

versus

KELTECH INFRASTRUCTURE LIMITED & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Niraj Kumar Singh, Advocate (through VC).

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

12.01.2024

%

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the impugned judgment of acquittal has been passed primarily on the ground that complainant did not personally file an affidavit by way of evidence or entered into witness box for the purpose of cross-examination but the same was done through his power of attorney, i.e., her husband.
2. Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties.
3. It is not the case of the respondent that the MOU, in pursuance of which the cheques have alleged to have been issued was not entered into by the respondent and there is also no denial of the fact that the cheques were issued in pursuance of the said MOU.
4. In view of the above, the present criminal leave petitions are allowed and disposed of. The same may be registered as criminal appeals and the



registry is directed to number them accordingly.

5. In the meantime, respondent is directed to furnish a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- each with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the Registrar General of this Court.

CRL.A.....(to be numbered)

CRL.A.....(to be numbered)

CRL.A.....(to be numbered)

CRL.A.....(to be numbered)

6. List on 05.04.2024.

AMIT SHARMA, J

JANUARY 12, 2024/bsr