



\$~71

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RC.REV. 128/2023**

NUMESH ARORA

.....Petitioner

Through: None

versus

NAYYARAH QAISER

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. I. Ahmed and Mr. S.D. Ansari,
Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SUDHIR KUMAR JAIN

ORDER

17.09.2024

%

1. Present none on behalf of the petitioner when the case is called out for hearing for the second time at about 2:40 PM.
2. Mr. S.D. Ansari, Advocate for the respondent stated that the possession of the tenanted premises has already been restored back to the respondent/landlord and as such, the present petition has become infructuous.
3. In view of the law and observations laid down in judgment dated 26.07.2024 passed in RC.REV. 284/2023 titled as **Om Prakash Ashok Kumar & Sons V Shri Ajay Khurana**, the present petition has become infructuous as the possession of the tenanted premises has already been taken over by the respondent/landlord in execution of warrant of possession in accordance with law.



4. Accordingly, the present petition along with pending applications, if any, stands dismissed being infructuous.

DR. SUDHIR KUMAR JAIN, J

SEPTEMBER 17, 2024

N/TK