



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 3406/2023**

JAVED & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Piyush Sharma, Advocate with
petitioners in person.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for the
State with SI Sachin Sharma, PS
Pandav Nagar.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

10.05.2024

%

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.337/2018 registered under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC at P.S. Pandav Nagar, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No.1 (husband) whereas petitioner Nos.2 and 3 are the in-laws of the complainant.
3. Learned APP for the State submits that the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim.
4. Learned counsels for the parties submit that the parties have settled their dispute on 23.08.2022 vide Settlement arrived at before the Delhi Mediation Centre (Matrimonial), Karkardooma Courts, Delhi. It is stated that petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 12.12.2022, which has been



placed on record. In terms of the settlement, respondent No. 2 is now left with no claim whatsoever against the petitioners. Petitioner No.1, who is present in Court, states that the rights of the minor child, who is in the custody of respondent No.2, shall remain unaffected by the terms of the settlement. In acknowledgement of the said statement, petitioner No.1 and his counsel have signed the order sheet.

5. The petitioners and respondent No.2, who are present in the Court, have been identified by their respective counsels and the Investigating Officer.

6. Respondent No. 2 states that she has entered into the aforesaid settlement with her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. Learned counsels for the parties submit that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed subject to encashment of the aforesaid demand draft.

10. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

MAY 10, 2024/*PB*