



\$~72

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RC.REV. 92/2024**

NIRMAL BHATNAGAR & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through:

versus

SHRI VIVIDH MAKHIJA

.....Respondent

Through: **Mr. Satish Bajaj, Advocate**

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SUDHIR KUMAR JAIN

ORDER

27.08.2024

%

1. Mr. Satish Bajaj, Advocate appearing on behalf of the respondent stated that the possession of the tenanted premises is restored back to the respondent in execution proceedings in accordance with law and as such, the present revision petition has become infructuous.
2. None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner when the case was called for hearing at 03:20 P.M.
3. It appears that the petitioner is not interested in the prosecution of the present petition.
4. The present petition is dismissed in default for want of prosecution.

DR. SUDHIR KUMAR JAIN, J

AUGUST 27, 2024

j/tk/am