



\$~77

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 2708/2024 & CRL.M.A. 10310/2024 Exemption

SUSHIL KUMAR & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Mukesh Kr. Dral, Mr. Rachit Khandelwal, Ms. Sunita Sevda & Ms. Sonia Khandelwal, Advs. with petitioner no.1 to 4 and 6 in person. Petitioner no. 5,7, 8& 9 through VC.

versus

STATE NCT OF DEHLI AND ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sunil Kumar Gautam, APP for the State with SI Kamal Chaudhary, P.S. Prem Nagar. Respondent no.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

04.04.2024

%

1. The present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeks quashing of FIR No. 0014/2022, under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC, registered at P.S. Prem Nagar and all other consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, including the chargesheet pending before the Court of Ms. Preeti Rajoria, learned Metropolitan Magistrate, Rohini Courts, Delhi.
2. The marriage between petitioner no.1/husband and respondent no.2/wife was solemnized on 11.12.2021 as per Hindu rites and ceremonies.
3. No child was born out of the said wedlock.
4. Due to matrimonial differences between petitioner no. 1 and



respondent no. 2, the parties started residing separately from 16.07.2021. Subsequently, respondent no.2/complainant lodged an FIR against petitioners.

5. On 26.05.2022, parties arrived at a settlement before Delhi Mediation Centre, Rohini District Courts, Delhi and as per the said settlement deed, petitioner no.1 has agreed to pay an amount of Rs. 18,00,000/- to the respondent no.2/wife towards full and final settlement of all her claims including *istridhan*, permanent alimony and maintenance - present, past and future. The copy of the aforesaid settlement deed dated 26.05.2022 is on record (Annexure B).

6. In terms of the said settlement, the marriage between the parties stands dissolved by a decree of divorce dated 15.03.2023, passed by Ms. Raj Rani, Judge, Family Court North-West, Rohini, Delhi (Annexure C). Further, as per the settlement deed, an amount of Rs. 12,00,000/- has already been paid to respondent no.2 and the remaining amount of Rs. 6,00,000/- has been paid to her in court today, by means of a demand draft.

7. Petitioner No. 1 to 4, 6 and complainant/respondent no. 2 are present before the Court and petitioner no.5, 7, 8 and 8 are present through video conferencing and have been duly identified by their respective counsel, as well as the Investigating Officer, S SI Kamal Chaudhary, P.S. Prem Nagar.

8. A demand draft bearing no. 164677 dated 27.03.2024 for Rs. 6,00,000/- drawn on State Bank of India has been handed over to the complainant/Respondent No.2, who acknowledges the receipt of the same.

9. The complainant/respondent No.2 states that the matter has been settled with the petitioners and she has no objection if the FIR is quashed. She further states that all the terms of the agreement have been complied



with.

10. In view of the settlement between the parties, learned APP for the State also has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

11. In *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab*, (2012) 10 SCC 303, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has recognized the need of amicable resolution of disputes by observing as under:-

“61. ... In other words, the High Court must consider whether it would be unfair or contrary to the interest of justice to continue with the criminal proceedings or continuation of criminal proceedings would tantamount to abuse of process of law despite settlement and compromise between the victim and the wrongdoer and whether to secure the ends of justice, it is appropriate that criminal case is put to an end and if the answer to the above question(s) is in the affirmative, the High Court shall be well within its jurisdiction to quash the criminal proceeding.”

12. In view of the aforesaid circumstances, and the fact that the parties have put a quietus to the dispute, no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the present FIR No. 0014/2022, under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC, registered at P.S. Prem Nagar and all other consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, including the chargesheet pending before the Court of Ms. Preeti Rajoria, learned Metropolitan Magistrate, Rohini Courts, Delhi.

13. In the interest of justice, the petition is allowed, and the FIR No. 554/2019, under Sections 498A/354/506/34 IPC, registered at P.S. Paschim Vihar East and all other consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, including the chargesheet pending before the Court of Ms. Akanksha, learned Metropolitan Magistrate, Mahila Court (West), Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi, is hereby quashed.

14. Petition is allowed and disposed of accordingly.



15. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

AMIT SHARMA, J

APRIL 4, 2024/nk

Click here to check corrigendum, if any