



\$~61

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2683/2024**

RAVI & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Alamgir, Advocate along with
petitioners.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP for the State
with SI Neeraj Chahal, P.S.
Seelampur.
Mohini, respondent no. 2-in-person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER
04.04.2024

%

CRL.M.A. 10193/2024 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 2683/2024

3. The instant petition Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 ('Cr.P.C.') has been instituted on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing of FIR bearing no. 164/2014, registered at Police Station Seelampur, Delhi for offences punishable under Sections 354/354D/452/341/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC').
4. Issue notice. Mr. Satish Kumar, learned APP appearing on behalf of the State accepts notice.



5. Petitioners are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel Mr. Alamgir and Investigating Officer (IO) SI Neeraj Chahal, P.S.: Seelampur, Delhi.

6. Brief facts of the case are that on the complaint of respondent no. 2, the present FIR got registered at Police Station Seelampur, Delhi. On 27.06.2017, after investigation of the case, the IO has filed the chargesheet before the concerned court. It is stated that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties *vide* Compromise Deed dated 19.02.2024.

7. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. It is also stated by respondent no. 2 that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties *vide* Compromise Deed dated 19.02.2024. Respondent no. 2 further states that she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

8. This Court has gone through the FIR as well as the compromise deed entered into between the parties.

9. The FIR in this case was registered in the year 2014. The chargesheet was filed in the year 2018. The accused herein was summoned in the year 2023. On first appearance before the learned Trial Court, the respondent no. 2 had informed the court that she did not wish to pursue the present matter. Subsequently, the present petition has been filed. It is stated that petitioners herein are not involved in any other case. The complainant has since re-married and does not want to pursue the present matter. It is stated that parties are related to each other.



10. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

11. Accordingly, FIR bearing no. 164/2014, registered at Police Station Seelampur, Delhi for offences punishable under Sections 354/354D/452/341/506/34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC') and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

12. The present petition stands disposed of.

13. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

APRIL 4, 2024/ZP

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)