



\$~78

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2674/2024 & CRL.M.A. 10170/2024**

AMIT JAIN AND ANR

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Ranvir Vats, Advocate with
petitioners in person.

versus

STATE (GNCT OF DELHI) AND ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP for State
with SI Bhawna PS Gandhi Nagar,
Delhi.

Mr. Sanjeet Kumar Trivedi, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

%

04.04.2024

1. The present proceedings are instituted under Section 482 Cr.P.C. on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No. 333/2020 registered under Sections 135/138/150 of Indian Electricity Act, 2003 at Police Station Gandhi Nagar, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The allegations in the present FIR pertain to the offence of theft of electricity.
3. Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, learned APP for the State, on instructions, submits that the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No.2 is the only complainant in the present case. It is further submitted that the charge-sheet has been filed.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have amicably settled their disputes and the petitioners have paid the entire settlement amount to the respondent No.2 which has already issued an NOC



in favour of the petitioners.

5. Learned counsel for the respondent No.2 submits that the appropriate respondent in the present case would be BSES Yamuna Power Ltd. In this regard, learned counsel for the petitioners undertakes to file an amended memo of parties.

6. The petitioners, who are present in Court, have been identified by their counsel as well as the I.O./ SI Bhawna PS Gandhi Nagar, Delhi who is present in the Court. Learned counsel for the respondent No. 2 is also present in Court who states that the entire civil liability has been received and that he has no objection to the quashing of present FIR.

7. The petitioners have shown remorse for their conduct and undertake not to repeat the same in future. Learned counsel for respondent No. 2 also states that it has entered into the settlement out of its own free will, volition and without any coercion. He further states that he has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and considering that no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed

10. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of alongwith miscellaneous application.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

APRIL 4, 2024/rd