



\$~45

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 2046/2022 & CRL. M.A. 8685/2022

PARDEEP KUMAR AND ORSPetitioners

Through: Mr. Praveen Suri & Mr.
Akhil Kumar, Advocates.

versus

STATE OF DELHI AND ANOTHERRespondents

Through: Mr. Manoj Pant, APP for
the State.

Mr. A.N. Aggarwal, Ms.
Nupur Sachdeva, Ms.
Hemlata Garg, Ms.
Meenakshi, Ms. Sonia &
Mr. Prakshit Bajaj,
Advocate for R-2.
SI Jaiveer Kumar (P.S.
Palam Village).

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

% **05.11.2024**

1. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the prayer A in the petition has already been satisfied and he does not wish to press the same.
2. After some arguments, the learned counsel for the parties are at *ad idem* that the application under Section 311 filed by the petitioner for recalling of PW1 has not been decided by the learned Trial Court. They both agree that the learned Trial Court can be directed to pass an order in the said application before proceeding further with the matter.
3. In view of the above, without going into the merits of the application filed by the petitioner and the maintainability of the same, the present petition is disposed of with direction to the



learned Trial Court to pass an order in the said application before proceeding further in the matter.

4. The petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

NOVEMBER 5, 2024

'Aman'