



\$~86

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 3280/2023**

KAILASH CHAUDHARY @ KAILASH KUMAR &
ANR. Petitioners

Through: Mr. Raja Panda, Advocate with
petitioners in person.

Versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR. Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State
with SI Namita, P.S. Sangam Vihar.
Mr. Rahul Kulhare and Mr. Akash
Bansal, Advocates for respondent
No.2 with respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER
20.02.2024

%

1. The present proceedings are instituted under Section 482 Cr.P.C. on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No.695/2015 registered under Sections 323/341/354A/506/34 IPC at P.S. Sangam Vihar, Delhi on the ground that parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, the petitioners abused and gave beatings to the complainant as a result of which he sustained injuries.
3. Mr. Sabharwal, learned APP for the State, on instructions, submits that as per the chargesheet, apart from the petitioners there is another accused *Abhijeet* who has already been declared P.O. vide order dated 30.03.2022. He further submits that respondent No.2 is the



complainant/victim in the present case.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have no clue about the whereabouts of the same and have no control over accused/*Abhijeet*. He further submits that petitioners and respondent No. 2 are known to each other being residents of same locality and present FIR was registered due to misunderstanding and with the intervention of family members and friends, parties have amicably settled their disputes out of Court and in terms of the said settlement, complainant is now left with no claim or grievance against the petitioners.

5. It is informed that another FIR No. 696/2015 registered under Sections 323/341/354A/506/34 IPC at P.S. Sangam Vihar against respondent No.2 and other persons, has also been quashed vide today's order passed in CRL.M.C. 5940/2023.

6. The petitioners and respondent No.2, who are present in Court, have been identified by their respective counsel as well as by the IO/SI Namita, P.S. Sangam Vihar, Delhi.

7. The petitioners have shown remorse for their conduct and undertake not to repeat the same in future. Respondent No. 2 also states that he has settled the disputes with the petitioners out of his own free will, volition and without any coercion. He further states that he has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed qua the present petitioners only.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and considering that no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid



FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

10. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

FEBRUARY 20, 2024/ga