



\$~53

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CM(M) 2252/2024**

**PREETI GUPTA**

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vinay Rathi, Adv. (DHCLSC)  
with Ms. Deepti Sharma and Mr.  
Vikrant, Advs.

versus

**SUNITA SHARMA**

..... Respondent

Through: None.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINDER KAUR**

**ORDER**

%

**02.04.2024**

**CM APPL. 19218/2024—Exp.**

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

**CM(M) 2252/2024, CM APPL. 19217/2024—stay, CM APPL. 19219/2024—44 days in re-filing petition**

3. The present petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India has been filed impugning the order dated 21.07.2023 passed by the learned Additional District Judge, North-East District, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi in Ex. Petition no. 37/20 titled as “Sunita Sharma vs. Preeti Gupta” whereby warrants of attachment against moveable assets of petitioner were issued. The petitioner herein is the judgment debtor before the learned Trial Court.
4. After making some submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner



submits that the present petition has become infructuous in view of order dated 21.07.2024, however, he seeks liberty to file fresh petition, as per law.

5. Permission granted to withdraw with liberty as prayed for.

6. Accordingly, the present petition along with the pending applications is disposed of.

**SHALINDER KAUR, J.**

**APRIL 02, 2024/ss**