



\$~20

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RC.REV. 89/2022**

ARJUN DEV & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr Rajiv Bajaj and Mr Vidhur
Marwah, Adv.

versus

JAWAHAR HANS

..... Respondent

Through: Mr Parmod Kumar Singh, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER

%

18.03.2024

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

**CM APPL. 42098/2023 (delay in re-filing), CM APPL. 65676/2023
(restoration of possession), CM APPL. 42097/2023 (impleadment of
LRS of deceased P-1), CM APPL. 21122/2022 (stay)**

1. It is informed by both sides that possession of the subject premises stands restored to the respondent/landlord in execution of the impugned eviction order. That being so, it is fairly admitted that the present petition has become infructuous in view of the judicial precedents in the cases titled *Smt. Poonam Bangia vs. Harbhagwan Dass Chandiramani* in RC. REV. 16/2021 & CM. APPL. 2959/2021; *N.C. Daga vs. Inder Mohan Singh Rana* in Appeal (Civil) 831/2002; *Vinod Kumar Verma vs. Manmohan Verma & Anr.* in Civil Appeal Nos. 5220-5221/2008; *L.S. Shanmuga Sundaram vs. P. Kunji Mohideen Kutty Haji* in C.R.P. (NPD) No. 1571/2022 and C.M.P No. 7932/2022.



2. Accordingly, the petition as well as all pending applications stand disposed of.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

MARCH 18, 2024/as

Click here to check corrigendum, if any