



\$~22 to 43

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 5841/2023 and CM APPL. 22883/2023

SHYAM UNIVERSITY

.....Petitioner

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through:

+ W.P.(C) 13949/2023

+ W.P.(C) 14273/2023

+ W.P.(C) 9268/2023 and CM APPL. 35309/2023

+ W.P.(C) 9269/2023 and CM APPL. 35310/2023

+ W.P.(C) 10585/2023

+ W.P.(C) 12101/2023

+ W.P.(C) 15018/2023 and CM APPL. 59988/2023

+ W.P.(C) 15021/2023 and CM APPL. 59994/2023

+ W.P.(C) 15030/2023 and CM APPL. 60073/2023

+ W.P.(C) 15032/2023 and CM APPL. 60075/2023

+ W.P.(C) 15053/2023 and CM APPL. 60121/2023

+ W.P.(C) 15054/2023 and CM APPL. 60122/2023

+ W.P.(C) 16411/2023 and CM APPL. 66031/2023

+ W.P.(C) 1236/2024

+ W.P.(C) 1617/2024

+ W.P.(C) 1623/2024

+ W.P.(C) 3637/2024

+ W.P.(C) 3775/2024



- + W.P.(C) 3795/2024
- + W.P.(C) 3837/2024
- + W.P.(C) 4083/2024

Appearances:-

For petitioners:-

Mr. Sanjay Sharawat and Mr. Ashok Kumar, Advs. in items No.22 and 23
Mr. Mayank Manish and Mr. Ravi Kant, Advs. in items No.24, 27, 40, 41 and 42.

Mr. Shaym Singh Chauhan, Mr. Ishwar Singh Rathore and Mr. Rishabh Panchal, Advs. in Item No.35

Mr. Amitesh Kumar, Ms. Priti Kumari and Mr. Mrinal Kishor, Advs. in items No.25, 26, 28 to 34, 36 to 39 and 43.

For respondents:-

Mr. N.K. Bhatnagar, SC with Ms. Pratishtha Majumdar, Advs. for R-NCTE in Item No.22

Ms. Mrinalini Sen, SC for R-NCTE in Item No.22

Mr. Akhilesh K. Srivastava (SC) and Mr. Manoj Kumar and Ms. Niharika Kashyap, Advs. for R-NCTE in items No.22 to 43

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

29.11.2024

%

1. Mr. Sanjay Sharawat, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners in W.P.(C) 5841/2023 and W.P.(C) 13949/2023, draws the attention of the Court to the relief prayed in the instant writ petitions. He fairly contends that the matters were with respect to the Academic Session 2024-25 and at this stage, the cause raised in these writ petitions has been rendered infructuous.



3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners in other writ petitions also submits that the nature of the relief in the instant writ petitions has been rendered infructuous.
4. The Court, therefore, is of the opinion that since the Academic Session 2024-25 has elapsed, at this stage, no substantial relief can be granted to the petitioners.
5. In view of the aforesaid, the petitions stand disposed of as rendered infructuous.
6. However, legal issue involved herein is left open to be adjudicated in an appropriate case.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

NOVEMBER 29, 2024/DPA