



\$~8

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CRL.M.C. 3192/2023

SANJAY KUMAR VERMA

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms. Sanjana Gupta, Advocate with
petitioner in person.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State
with ASI Subhash Borkar PS Raj
Park, Delhi.

Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate for
respondent No.2 with respondent in
person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

07.02.2024

%

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. on behalf of the petitioner seeking quashing of FIR No.519/2022 registered under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC at P.S. Raj Park, North West, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner (husband) of the complainant.
3. Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, learned APP for the State submits that in the present case petitioner is the only accused and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim.
4. Learned counsels for the parties submit that the parties have settled their disputes and a settlement agreement dated 19.11.2022 has been entered between them. In terms of the settlement, first motion has already been



completed and the second motion is pending. It was agreed that a sum of Rs.8,00,000/- as full and final settlement shall be paid by petitioner to respondent No. 2. It is further submitted that the entire settlement amount has been paid to the respondent No.2. It is stated that an affidavit has been filed by the petitioner to the effect that rights of the minor child shall remain unaffected by terms of the settlement.

5. Petitioner and respondent No.2, who are present in Court, have been identified by their respective counsels as well as by I.O./ ASI Subhash Borkar PS Raj Park, Delhi..

6. Respondent No. 2 states that she has settled her disputes with petitioner of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She also acknowledges the receipt of entire settled amount and submits that she has no objection in case the FIR is quashed against the petitioner.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements and undertaking made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

10. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

FEBRUARY 7, 2024/rd