



\$~79

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 2524/2024**
RAVINDER SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Ashok and Mr.Duli Chand,
Adv.

versus

STATE OF NCT DELHI & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr.Satinder Singh Bawa, APP
with SI Ravi Dhaka
Mr.Dharmendra and Mr.A.K.
Kashyap, Advs. for R-2 along
with R-2 in person.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

ORDER

% **01.04.2024**

CRL.M.A. 9627/2024 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

CRL.M.C. 2524/2024

2. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (in short, 'Cr.P.C.') seeking quashing of FIR No.1044/2014 registered at Police Station: Nand Nagri, North-East District, Delhi under Sections 498A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (in short, 'IPC'), along with all other proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of a settlement.

3. Issue notice.

4. Notice is accepted by Mr.Satinder Singh Bawa, learned APP for



State and by Mr.Dharmendra, learned counsel for the respondent no.2.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the subject FIR was an offshoot of the matrimonial discord between the parties, that is, the petitioner and the respondent no.2.

6. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the parties, that is, the petitioner and the respondent no.2 have amicably settled all their *inter se* disputes before Delhi Mediation Centre, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi vide Mediation Settlement dated 18.10.2023.

7. The learned counsel for the petitioner has handed over a Demand Draft of Rs.50,000/- to the respondent no.2, who is present in person in court and has been duly identified by the Investigating Officer (IO).

8. The respondent no.2 affirms that she has settled all the disputes with the petitioner out of her own free will and without any coercion. The respondent no.2 submits that she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

9. I have perused the contents of the FIR, Charge Sheet and also the settlement between the parties.

10. As the disputes between the parties arose out of a matrimonial relationship, and now the same have been amicably settled, and also looking into the nature of the allegations made in the complaint, in my opinion, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the FIR alive. In fact, it would rather create further acrimony between the parties and will be an unnecessary burden on the State exchequer.

11. Guided by the principles enunciated by the Supreme Court in its judgments in ***Jitendra Raghuvanshi v. Babita Raghuvanshi***, (2013) 4



SCC 58; *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab*, (2012) 10 SCC 303; *Parbatbhai Aahir @ Parbatbhai Bhimsinbhai Karmur & Ors. v. State of Gujarat & Ors.*, (2017) 9 SCC 641; and, *State of Haryana & Ors. v. Bhajan Lal & Ors.*, 1992 Supp (1) SCC 335, this Court deems it appropriate, in the interest of justice, to exercise its inherent powers under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. to quash the FIR and all the proceedings emanating therefrom.

12. Accordingly, the petition is allowed. FIR No.1044/2014 registered at Police Station: Nand Nagri, North-East, Delhi under Sections 498A/406/34 of the IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom against the petitioner are quashed.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

APRIL 1, 2024/ns/ss

Click here to check corrigendum, if any