



\$~73

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 4565/2024**

SOPAN RESTAURANT

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Akshit Bajpai, Ms. Ishanee
Sharma, Mr. Shobhit Trehan, Ms.
Renuka Parmanand and Ms. Vedika
Dalmia, Advs.

Versus

**UNION OF INDIA THROUGH CHARIMAN AND CEO,
RAILWAY BOARD & ORS.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Raghvendra Shukla, Sr. Panel
Counsel with Mr. Ramjee Pandey and
Mr. S.K. Giri, Advocates for UOI

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR
KAURAV**

ORDER

19.07.2024

%

W.P.(C) 4565/2024 and CM APPL 40292/2024

1. Having heard learned counsels appearing on behalf of the parties and in view of the fact that the controversy involved in the instant petition is covered by the decision passed by this Court W.P.(C) 6771/2204, the instant petition is disposed of in the similar directions.
2. The Court also takes note of paragraph No.30 of the order dated 30.05.2024, where the writ petitioners therein were allowed to operate their concerned stall for a period of three months. Paragraph No.30 of the said order reads as under:-



“30. In the circumstances, this Court finds no merit in the present petitions and the same are accordingly dismissed. However, since the petitioners have been operating these minor catering units for a significant period of time, to enable the petitioners to make a transition and make alternative vending arrangement/s, this Court considers it apposite to grant a period of 3 months to the petitioners (from the date of the extended license period after taking into account the dies non period; OR from the date of this judgment, whichever is later) to vacate the catering units in question. The same shall be subject to payment of usual license fee. It is directed accordingly.”

3. The directions contained in paragraph No.30 of the order dated 30.05.2024 shall be applicable in the facts of the present case *mutatis mutandis*.

4. The date already fixed i.e. 09.10.2024 stands cancelled.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

JULY 19, 2024

p'ma