



\$~20

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 3037/2023**

VAIBHAV ARORA AND ANR Petitioners

Through: Mr. Kuldeep Singh Grewal, Adv.
Petitioners through V.C.

versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI Respondent

Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP for State
SI Suraj, P.S. Mehrauli and SI Hukam
Chand, P.S. Hauz Khas
Respondent No.2 and father of
Respondent No.2 (SPA holder)
through V.C.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

15.03.2024

%

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No. 733/2018 registered under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC at P.S. Mehrauli, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No. 1 (husband) whereas petitioner No. 2 is the mother-in-law of the complainant.
3. Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, learned APP for the State submits that in the present case petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have settled their disputes before the Mediation Centre, Saket Courts, Delhi on



02.12.2021. In terms of the settlement, the parties have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 06.10.2022 passed by the Principal Judge, Saket Court, Delhi in HMA No. 1251/2022. It is further stated that the entire settlement amount has already been paid to respondent No.2. In terms of the settlement, respondent No.2 is now left with no claim or grievance against the petitioners.

5. Petitioners, who have joined the proceedings through V.C., have been identified by their counsel as well as by I.O./SI Suraj, P.S. Mehrauli. Respondent No.2 as well as her father, who is also the SPA holder, have also joined the proceedings through V.C., and have been identified by the I.O.

6. Respondent no.2 states that she has settled her disputes with the petitioners of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She also acknowledges the receipt of entire settled amount and submits that she has no objection in case the FIR is quashed against the petitioners.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements and undertaking made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

10. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

MARCH 15, 2024/ns