



\$~52

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **C.O. (COMM.IPD-TM) 527/2022**

SUMIT SHARMA

.....Petitioner

Through:

versus

**RAJNEESH BHANSALI TRADING AS HARDIK
APPARELS AND ANR.**

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Nidhi Raman, CGSC with
Mr. Zubin Singh, Advocate for
Registrar of Trade Marks.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

17.12.2024

%

1. In the present case, on 4th October, 2024, the counsel for the petitioner submitted before the Court that he has not been receiving instructions from the petitioner.
2. Accordingly, *vide* order dated 4th October, 2024, a Court notice was issued to the petitioner and the matter was placed before the Joint Registrar on 11th December, 2024.
3. In the order dated 11th December, 2024, passed by the Joint Registrar, it was noted that the petitioner had been served on 21st October, 2024, however, there was no appearance on behalf of the petitioner.
4. Today also none appears on behalf of the petitioner.
5. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

AMIT BANSAL, J

DECEMBER 17, 2024

ds