



\$~30

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1660/2022**

SHAILJA KHANNA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ashwini, Advocate

versus

RAMAN SAHANI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

27.08.2024

%

1. Though the present petition was filed in the year 2022 challenging the summoning Order dated 10.07.2017. In view of the fact that no stay was granted by this Court, notice has been framed and the matter is now fixed for complainant evidence.
2. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition with liberty to raise all the contentions raised in this petition at an appropriate stage including the fact that the Petitioner was not responsible for the conduct of the affairs of the company on the date when the cheque was dishonoured and thereby offence under Section 138 of the NI Act is not made out against the Petitioner.
3. Permission and liberty, as prayed for, is granted.
4. It is made clear that this Court has not made any observation on the merits of the case and the Trial Court is requested to consider the arguments of the Petitioner on the weight of the evidence adduced by the defence in



Court.

5. It is stated by learned Counsel for the Petitioner that the Petitioner is a single lady. It is always open for the Petitioner to move an application for exemption which shall be considered by the Trial Court on its own merits depending upon the stage of the case.

6. The petition is disposed of as withdrawn along with pending application(s), if any.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

AUGUST 27, 2024

hsk