



\$~82

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 941/2024**

RAM SINGH & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Ms. Santosh Dixit, Adv.
All the petitioners in
person.

versus

THE STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI)
& ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Bhandari,
ASC for the State with Ms.
Anvita Bhandari, Mr.
Kunal Mittal, Mr. Arjit
Sharma and Mr. Vaibhav
Vats, Advs. with SI Akash
Kumar, PS Bhajanpura.
Mr. Sanskar, Adv. for
complainant / R-2.
Husband of R-2 in person.
R-3 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

21.03.2024

1. The present petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 ('CrPC') for quashing of FIR No. 158/2014 dated 05.02.2014, registered at Police Station Bhajanpura, for offences under Sections 325/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC'). The FIR was registered on a complaint filed by Ms. Ramvati, Respondent No. 2.

2. The Chargesheet has already been filed and the charges have been framed under Sections 324/325/34 of the IPC.

W.P.(CRL) 941/2024

Page 1 of 7



3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the parties are neighbours and due to some misunderstanding, a quarrel took place between the parties, in which the complainant / Respondent No.2, and her son / Respondent No.3 sustained injuries.
4. The present petition has been filed on the ground that with the intervention of Delhi Mediation Centre, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi, the parties have since settled their disputes and have entered into a settlement dated 24.03.2023, on their own free will, without any force, pressure, undue influence, misrepresentation or mistake.
5. The petitioners are present in Court and undertake not to indulge in any such activities in future.
6. The petitioners are bound down to the said undertaking.
7. It is submitted that Ms. Ramwati, complainant / Respondent No. 2 has expired on 24.08.2023.
8. The petitioners, Respondent No. 3 and the husband of Respondent No. 2 / complainant are present in person in Court, and have been duly identified by the Investigating Officer.
9. The husband of Ms. Ramwati, complainant, states that he and his family have no objection if the proceedings arising out of the present FIR are quashed. He further states that the petitioner has also compensated the complainant. A sum of ₹20,000/- has already been paid and the balance amount of ₹30,000/- is to be given today.
10. It is pointed out that the deceased complainant has four children and a husband, who are her Class-I legal heirs.
11. Offence under Section 325 of the IPC is compoundable whereas offences under Section 324 of the IPC is non-compoundable.



12. It is well settled that the High Court while exercising its powers under Section 482 of the CrPC can compound offences which are non-compoundable under the Code on the ground that there is a compromise between the accused and the complainant. The Hon'ble Apex Court has laid down parameters and guidelines for High Court while accepting settlement and quashing the proceedings. In the case of **Narinder Singh & Ors. v. State of Punjab & Anr. : (2014) 6 SCC 466**, the Hon'ble Supreme Court had observed as under :-

“29. In view of the aforesaid discussion, we sum up and lay down the following principles by which the High Court would be guided in giving adequate treatment to the settlement between the parties and exercising its power under Section 482 of the Code while accepting the settlement and quashing the proceedings or refusing to accept the settlement with direction to continue with the criminal proceedings:

***29.1.** Power conferred under Section 482 of the Code is to be distinguished from the power which lies in the Court to compound the offences under Section 320 of the Code. No doubt, under Section 482 of the Code, the High Court has inherent power to quash the criminal proceedings even in those cases which are not compoundable, where the parties have settled the matter between themselves. However, this power is to be exercised sparingly and with caution.*

***29.2.** When the parties have reached the settlement and on that basis petition for quashing the criminal proceedings is filed, the guiding factor in such cases would be to secure:*

- (i) ends of justice, or*
- (ii) to prevent abuse of the process of any court.*



While exercising the power the High Court is to form an opinion on either of the aforesaid two objectives.

29.3. Such a power is not to be exercised in those prosecutions which involve heinous and serious offences of mental depravity or offences like murder, rape, dacoity, etc. Such offences are not private in nature and have a serious impact on society. Similarly, for the offences alleged to have been committed under special statute like the Prevention of Corruption Act or the offences committed by public servants while working in that capacity are not to be quashed merely on the basis of compromise between the victim and the offender.

29.4. On the other hand, those criminal cases having overwhelmingly and predominantly civil character, particularly those arising out of commercial transactions or arising out of matrimonial relationship or family disputes should be quashed when the parties have resolved their entire disputes among themselves.

29.5. While exercising its powers, the High Court is to examine as to whether the possibility of conviction is remote and bleak and continuation of criminal cases would put the accused to great oppression and prejudice and extreme injustice would be caused to him by not quashing the criminal cases.”

(emphasis supplied)

13. Similarly, in the case of ***Parbatbhai Aahir & Ors. v. State of Gujarat & Anr. : (2017) 9 SCC 641***, the Hon'ble Supreme Court had observed as under :-

“16. The broad principles which emerge from the precedents on the subject, may be summarised in the following propositions:

16.1. Section 482 preserves the inherent powers of the High Court to prevent an abuse of the process of any court or to secure the



ends of justice. The provision does not confer new powers. It only recognises and preserves powers which inhere in the High Court.

16.2. The invocation of the jurisdiction of the High Court to quash a first information report or a criminal proceeding on the ground that a settlement has been arrived at between the offender and the victim is not the same as the invocation of jurisdiction for the purpose of compounding an offence. While compounding an offence, the power of the court is governed by the provisions of Section 320 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973. The power to quash under Section 482 is attracted even if the offence is non-compoundable.

16.3. In forming an opinion whether a criminal proceeding or complaint should be quashed in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 482, the High Court must evaluate whether the ends of justice would justify the exercise of the inherent power.

16.4. While the inherent power of the High Court has a wide ambit and plenitude it has to be exercised (i) to secure the ends of justice, or (ii) to prevent an abuse of the process of any court.

16.5. The decision as to whether a complaint or first information report should be quashed on the ground that the offender and victim have settled the dispute, revolves ultimately on the facts and circumstances of each case and no exhaustive elaboration of principles can be formulated.

*16.6. In the exercise of the power under Section 482 and while dealing with a plea that the dispute has been settled, the High Court must have due regard to the nature and gravity of the offence. **Heinous and serious offences involving mental depravity or offences such as murder, rape and dacoity cannot appropriately be quashed though the victim or the family of the victim have settled the dispute. Such offences are, truly speaking, not***



private in nature but have a serious impact upon society. The decision to continue with the trial in such cases is founded on the overriding element of public interest in punishing persons for serious offences.

16.7. As distinguished from serious offences, there may be criminal cases which have an overwhelming or predominant element of a civil dispute. They stand on a distinct footing insofar as the exercise of the inherent power to quash is concerned.

16.8. Criminal cases involving offences which arise from commercial, financial, mercantile, partnership or similar transactions with an essentially civil flavour may in appropriate situations fall for quashing where parties have settled the dispute.

16.9. In such a case, the High Court may quash the criminal proceeding if in view of the compromise between the disputants, the possibility of a conviction is remote and the continuation of a criminal proceeding would cause oppression and prejudice; and

16.10. There is yet an exception to the principle set out in propositions 16.8. and 16.9. above. Economic offences involving the financial and economic well-being of the State have implications which lie beyond the domain of a mere dispute between private disputants. The High Court would be justified in declining to quash where the offender is involved in an activity akin to a financial or economic fraud or misdemeanour. The consequences of the act complained of upon the financial or economic system will weigh in the balance.”

(emphasis supplied)

14. Keeping in view the nature of dispute and that the parties have amicably entered into a settlement, this Court feels that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the dispute alive and continuance of the proceedings would amount to abuse of the process of Court. I am of the opinion that this is a fit case to



exercise discretionary jurisdiction under Section 482 of the CrPC.

15. In view of the above, FIR No. 158/2014 and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed.

16. A sum of ₹30,000/- is handed over to the learned Investigating Officer in Court today. The learned Investigating Officer is directed to give a sum of ₹6,000/- to each of the legal heirs.

17. The present petition is allowed in the aforesaid terms.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

MARCH 21, 2024

'KDK'