



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1648/2022 & CRL.M.A. 7046/2022**

MEENA JOSHI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Satish Tamta, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Shariq Iqbal and Ms. Manavi
Joshi, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Aman Usman, APP for the State
with Mr. Piyush Bansal, Mr. Amit
Garg, Mr. Vishal Bhardwaj, Mr.
Paripoorn, Mr. Raj Ratnam Nagar,
Ms. Taha Munim Bhat, Mr. Ankit
Kumar Singh, Advocates.
SI Vishal, D-6151, PS Mayur Vihar
Phase-I

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

13.09.2024

%

1. Petitioner has approached this Court seeing quashing of the Order dated 29.08.2019, passed by the learned Metropolitan Magistrate, Karkardooma Courts, in FIR No.218/2018, dated 15.06.2018, registered at Police Station Mayur Vihar Ph-I, for offences under Sections 289 IPC. The Petitioner also seeks quashing of the abovementioned FIR.

2. It is stated that the parties agreed for compounding the offence before the learned Metropolitan Magistrate on 29.05.2024. The said Order reads as under:

“It is submitted by PW Sachin that they are currently



residing in Netherland and working there. Further submitted that the complainant wants to compound the present case. Further submitted by PW that they will ask his advocate to appear before the court and make relevant submission on NDOH. At request of PW Sachin Gupta, matter is adjourned. Put up for further proceedings on 18.09.2024.”

3. Today, the Complainant/Respondent No.2 and her husband, who reside in Netherlands, have joined the proceedings through video conferencing. It is stated by the Complainant that she has settled all her disputes with the Petitioners out of her own free will, without pressure, coercion or undue influence.

4. The Petitioner is present in Court. The parties have been identified by the Investigating Officer and the respective Counsels.

5. Considering the fact that the parties have amicably settled their disputes, the present case is squarely covered under the law laid down by the Supreme Court in Gian Singh v. State of Punjab, (2012) 10 SCC 303. In view of the above, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the present proceedings. Resultantly, FIR No.218/2018, dated 15.06.2018, registered at Police Station Mayur Vihar Ph-I, for offences under Sections 289 IPC and the proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

6. The Petition is disposed of along with the pending applications, if any.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

SEPTEMBER 13, 2024

Rahul