



\$~37

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2858/2023**

PARTH@ PRAVIN BHAI & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Ms.Ankita Shah, Advocate with
petitioners in person

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP for State
with SI Kartar Singh
Respondent No.2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

%

08.02.2024

CRL.M.A. 10693/2023 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application is disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 2858/2023

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.1173/2018 registered under Sections 498A/406 IPC at P.S. Uttam Nagar, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No.1 (husband) whereas petitioner Nos. 2 to 4 are the in-laws of the complainant.



3. Learned APP for the State submits that the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have settled their dispute vide Memorandum of Understanding dated 20.12.2022 arrived at before the Gujarat High Court Mediation Centre, Ahmadabad. It is further stated that the parties have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 09.03.2023 passed by the Family Court, Bharuch in FS No.21/2023. It was agreed that a sum of Rs.9,00,000/- would be paid as full and final settlement by petitioner No.1 to respondent No. 2. In terms of the settlement, respondent No. 2 is now left with no claim whatsoever against the petitioners.
5. The petitioners, who are present in the Court, have been identified by their counsel and the Investigating Officer. Respondent No.2, who is also present in Court, is identified by the Investigating Officer.
6. Respondent No. 2 states that she has entered into the aforesaid Memorandum of Understanding with her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.
7. Learned counsels for the parties submit that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.
8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.
9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.



10. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

FEBRUARY 8, 2024

na