



\$~89

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CONT.CAS(C) 468/2024**

VINOD GOYAL AND SONS HUF Petitioner

Through: Mr. Venketesh Chaurasia, Mr.
Rawo Jain, Ms. Renu Arora,
Advs.

versus

ITO, WARD, 36(1), DELHI & ORS. Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Kumar, SSC

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHWANT VARMA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR
KAURAV

ORDER
21.03.2024

%

1. This contempt petition had been preferred alleging violation of our order dated 12 December 2023 and 24 March 2023. From paragraph 8 of the order dated 24 March 2023, we note that the following interim directions were framed: -

“8. Although we have issued notice in the matter, the Assessing Officer (AO) will have liberty to continue with the reassessment proceedings. However, if any order is passed which is adverse to the interest of the petitioner, the same shall not be given effect to till further directions of the court.”

2. In light of the above, Mr. Kumar, learned counsel appearing for the respondent, on instructions, apprises us that National Faceless Assessment Centre [“**NFAC**”] had inadvertently issued directions and upon taking cognizance of the interim order passed by the Court, the penalty proceedings as well as the Demand Notice under Section 156 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 [“**Act**”] has been withdrawn and the



proceedings kept in abeyance subject to further orders being passed on the writ petition.

3. In view of the above, we find that no further directions would be merited.

4. The contempt petition shall stand disposed of.

YASHWANT VARMA, J.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J.

MARCH 21, 2024

neha