



\$~23 to 28

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 4072/2024 & CM APPL. 16637/2024 (stay)

OM PRAKASH ARORAPetitioner

Through: Mr. Salil Kapoor, Mr. Sumit
Lalchandani, Ms. Ananya
Kapoor and Mr. Tarun
Chanana, Advocates.

versus

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX CIRCLE
52(1) DELHI & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Vipul Agrawal, Advocate.

24.

+ W.P.(C) 4073/2024 & CM APPL. 16641/2024 (stay)

OM PRAKASH ARORAPetitioner

Through: Mr. Salil Kapoor, Mr. Sumit
Lalchandani, Ms. Ananya
Kapoor and Mr. Tarun
Chanana, Advocates.

versus

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX CIRCLE
52(1), DELHI & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Vipul Agrawal, Advocate.

25.

+ W.P.(C) 4106/2024 & CM APPL. 16725/2024 (stay)

OM PRAKASH ARORAPetitioner

Through: Mr. Salil Kapoor, Mr. Sumit
Lalchandani, Ms. Ananya
Kapoor and Mr. Tarun
Chanana, Advocates.



versus

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX CIRCLE
52(1) DELHI & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Vipul Agrawal, Advocate.

26.

+ W.P.(C) 4170/2024 & CM APPL. 16995/2024 (stay)

OM PRAKASH ARORAPetitioner

Through: Mr. Salil Kapoor, Mr. Sumit
Lalchandani, Ms. Ananya
Kapoor and Mr. Tarun
Chanana, Advocates.

versus

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX CIRCLE
52(1), DELHI & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Vipul Agrawal, Advocate.

27.

+ W.P.(C) 4182/2024 & CM APPL. 17113/2024 (stay)

OM PRAKASH ARORAPetitioner

Through: Mr. Salil Kapoor, Mr. Sumit
Lalchandani, Ms. Ananya
Kapoor and Mr. Tarun
Chanana, Advocates.

versus

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX CIRCLE
52(1), DELHI & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Vipul Agrawal, Advocate.

28.

+ W.P.(C) 4183/2024 & CM APPL. 17115/2024 (stay)

OM PRAKASH ARORAPetitioner

Through: Mr. Salil Kapoor, Mr. Sumit



Lalchandani, Ms. Ananya
Kapoor and Mr. Tarun
Chanana, Advocates.

versus

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX CIRCLE
52(1) DELHI & ORS.Respondents
Through: Mr. Vipul Agrawal, Advocate.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHWANT VARMA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVINDER DUDEJA

ORDER
% **18.09.2024**

We are informed that pursuant to the completion of the Section 153 assessment under the Income Tax Act, 1961, no additions were ultimately made.

Consequently, these writ petitions are rendered infructuous.

These petitions shall accordingly stand disposed of as having rendered infructuous.

YASHWANT VARMA, J.

RAVINDER DUDEJA, J.

SEPTEMBER 18, 2024/vp