



\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 1599/2022**

BRAJ BHUSHAN TYAGI @ B.B.TYAGI & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: None

versus

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ORS. Respondents

Through: Ms.Priyanka Dalal, APP with
SI Manish Kumar.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

ORDER

% **18.03.2024**

1. None is appearing for the petitioners.
2. The same was the position on 20.11.2023 as well when this Court has passed the following order:

“Order sheets are replete with the fact that the Petitioners have been taking adjournment on one pretext or the other in the past. Even today, none has appeared to represent the Petitioners. In the interest of justice, adverse orders are deferred for today, making it clear that if none appears for the Petitioners or steps are not taken in terms of the earlier orders, the matter will be dismissed for non-prosecution.”

3. The petitioners have neither filed the Amended Memo of Parties nor the Settlement Deed.
4. In view of the above, the petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

MARCH 18, 2024/Arya

Click here to check corrigendum, if any