



\$~88

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 2236/2024**
VIJAY SUKLA & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr.Vijay Kinger, Adv. along
with petitioners present in
person.

versus

STATE -GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr.Satinder Singh Bawa, APP.
ASI Vikram Singh, Ps Karawal
Nagar.
Mr.Ashwani Gehlot &
Ms.Roopu Nagpal, Advs. for R-
2 along with respondent no.2
present in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

ORDER

% **19.03.2024**

CRL.M.A. 8651/2024 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

CRL.M.C. 2236/2024

2. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (in short, 'Cr.P.C.') seeking quashing of FIR No. 0616/2020 registered at Police Station: Karawal Nagar, North East under Sections 498A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (in short, 'IPC') and Section 3 and 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 (in short, 'DP Act'), along with all other proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of a settlement.



3. Issue notice.
4. Notice is accepted by Mr.Satinder Singh Bawa, learned APP for respondent no.1 and Ms.Roopa Nagpal, learned counsel for respondent no.2.
5. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the subject FIR was an offshoot of the matrimonial discord between the parties, that is, the petitioner no. 1 and the respondent no. 2.
6. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have amicably settled all their *inter se* disputes and have entered into a settlement vide Settlement Deed dated 09.02.2024, and are happily living together since then.
7. The respondent no.2, who is present in person and has been duly identified by the Investigating Officer (IO), affirms that she has settled all the disputes with the petitioners out of her own free will and without any coercion. The respondent no.2 submits that she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.
8. I have perused the contents of the FIR and also the settlement between the parties.
9. As the disputes between the parties arose out of a matrimonial relationship, and now the same have been amicably settled and the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2 are residing together happily, and also looking into the nature of the allegations made in the complaint, in my opinion, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the FIR alive. In fact, it would rather create further acrimony between the parties and will be an unnecessary burden on the State exchequer. The continuation of the proceedings would rather act as a



hindrance in the happy married life of the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2.

10. Guided by the principles enunciated by the Supreme Court in its judgments in *Jitendra Raghuvanshi v. Babita Raghuvanshi*, (2013) 4 SCC 58; *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab*, (2012) 10 SCC 303; *Parbatbhai Aahir @ Parbatbhai Bhimsinbhai Karmur & Ors. v. State of Gujarat & Ors.*, (2017) 9 SCC 641; and, *State of Haryana & Ors. v. Bhajan Lal & Ors.*, 1992 Supp (1) SCC 335, this Court deems it appropriate, in the interest of justice, to exercise its inherent powers under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. to quash the FIR and all the proceedings emanating therefrom.

11. Accordingly, the petition is allowed. FIR No. 0616/2020 registered at Police Station: Karawal Nagar, North East under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC and Section 3 and 4 of DP Act and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom against the petitioners are quashed.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

MARCH 19, 2024/rv/am

Click here to check corrigendum, if any