



\$~14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.M.C. 1545/2022 & CRL.M.A. 14721/2022, CRL.M.A.
26423/2022

PREM KUMAR SINGHAL AND ANR.Petitioners

Through: Mr. Ashutosh, (D/1650/08) Advocate
with Petitioners-in-person

versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP for the State.
SI Kamlesh, D-67775, Police Station
Bawana
Mr. Mohit Mittal, (D/871/2015)
Advocates for R-2 to R-7 with R-2 in
person.
SI Kamlesh (D-6775), PS Bawana

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER
02.08.2024

%

1. The Petitioners have approached this Court for quashing FIR No.657/2015 dated 02.10.2015 registered at Police Station Bawana (Outer) for offences under Sections 285 & 337 IPC on the ground of amicable settlement arrived at between the parties.

2. Material on record discloses that while working at the factory of Petitioner No.1, where some construction work was going on, the husband of the Respondent No.2 got electrocuted and lost his life. The present FIR



was, therefore, registered against the Petitioners herein. It is stated that the matter has been settled between the parties *vide* Compromise/Settlement deed dated 22.08.2020 by which the Petitioners have agreed to pay Rs.4,25,000/- to the Respondent No.2.

3. Respondent No.2 along with her children is present in Court today. The Petitioners are also present in Court today. The parties have been identified by their respective Counsels and the Investigating Officer. Respondents No.2 states that she has settled all the disputes with the Petitioners out of her own free will, without pressure, coercion or undue influence.

4. The parties have settled the matter and the Petitioners have agreed to pay a sum of Rs.4,25,000/- to Respondent No.2 as per the settlement. However, this Court is inclined to increase the amount of settlement from Rs.4,25,000/- to Rs.5,50,000/-.

5. When this Court directed the Petitioners to pay a sum of Rs.5,50,000/- to Respondent No.2, the Petitioner No.1, who is present in Court agreed to the same and submits that he is ready to pay the remaining amount to Respondent No.2 in Court today. It is stated by the learned Counsel for the Petitioners that a sum of Rs.1,50,000/- has already been paid to Respondent No.2. The remaining amount of Rs.4,00,000/- has been paid by Petitioner No.1 to Respondent No.2 in Court today by way of a cheque presented in favour of Respondent No.2.

6. In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties and in view of the fact that the entire amount has been paid to Respondent No.2, this Court is of the opinion that the instant case is squarely covered by the law laid down by the Supreme Court in Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab, (2012) 10



SCC 303 and this Court is satisfied that no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the present proceedings. Resultantly, FIR No.657/2015 dated 02.10.2015 registered at Police Station Bawana (Outer) for offences under Sections 285 & 337 IPC and the proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed. The parties shall remain bound by the settlement and the undertaking given to the Court.

7. The petition is disposed of along with pending application(s), if any.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

AUGUST 2, 2024

hsk