



\$~31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **FAO (COMM) 50/2024**

PARDEEP MANCHANDA Appellant

Through: Mr.Vijay Seghal, Advocate.

versus

GULSHAN KUMAR MANCHANDA & ORS. Respondents

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

% **18.03.2024**

CM APPL. 16756/2024

1. For the reasons explained in the application, the delay in filing the appeal stands condoned.

2. The application stands disposed of.

CM APPL. 16755/2024

3. Exemption is allowed, subject to just exceptions.

4. The application stands disposed of.

FAO (COMM) 50/2024

5. After some arguments, the learned counsel appearing for the appellant seeks to withdraw the present appeal with liberty to seek such interim measure for protection, as advised under Section 17 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (hereafter 'A&C Act'), once the Arbitral Tribunal is formed.

6. The appeal is dismissed as withdrawn. The observations made in the impugned order shall not preclude the appellant from seeking an interim measure for protection, as necessary under Section 17 of the A&C Act. Needless to state, as and when such an application is filed, the same would



be considered on its own merits.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

MARCH 18, 2024

M

Click here to check corrigendum, if any