



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **EFA(OS) 4/2023 & CM Appl.18902/2023**

KAMLESH GUPTA & ANR.

..... Appellants

Through: Mr Ravi Bassi with Mr Nitin Kumar
and Mr Sumit Kumar, Advs. for
Appellant no.1 and LR's of Appellant
no.2.

versus

V.N. VERMA & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Ms Shivani Luthra with Ms Simran
Khurana and Ms Ishita Soni, Advs.
for R-2/Veena Mahajan.
Mr Ashok Gurnani, Adv. for R-3 & 4.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

22.01.2024

%

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

1. Counsel for the appellants, on instructions, seeks to withdraw the above-captioned appeal.
2. We may note that the appeal is preferred against the order dated 02.02.2023 passed by the learned Single Judge. The said order dealt with EA(OS) no. 682/2012 filed in EX.P. 282/2012. *Via* the impugned order, the learned Single Judge dismissed the objections filed in the execution petition.
- 2.1 This application was preferred by Meena Rani Gupta and Nalini Gupta, appellants in EFA(OS) 7/2023.

EFA(OS) 4/2023

1/2



3. We are told that the grievance of the appellants is against the order dated 11.10.2022, whereby, their prayer for impleadment and intervention application was rejected.
4. Therefore, quite clearly, even otherwise, the wrong order was assailed in the present appeal.
5. As prayed, the appeal is dismissed as withdrawn.
6. Consequently, interlocutory application i.e., CM Appl.18902/2023 shall stand closed.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

AMIT BANSAL, J

JANUARY 22, 2024/pmc

Click here to check corrigendum, if any

EFA(OS) 4/2023

2/2