



\$~46

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 1494/2022, CRL.M.A. 6489/2022 &**
CRL.M.A. 492/2024

AMRITA VERMAPetitioner

Through: Mr. Pavan Narang,
Mr. Himanshu Sethi &
Ms. Aishwarya Chhabra,
Advs.
Petitioner (through VC)

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondent

Through: Mr. Naresh Kumar
Chahar, APP for the State
Inspector Pankaj Kumar,
PS- EOW
Mr. Sumit Kumar, Adv.
for R2 (through VC)

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER
26.11.2024

%

1. The present petition is filed seeking quashing of Chargesheet arising out of FIR No. 122/2018 dated 25.05.2018, for offences under Sections 406/420/120B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC'), registered at Police Station Economic Offences Wing, Delhi, including all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

2. The present FIR was registered on a complaint filed by Respondent No.2 alleging that she came in contact with the petitioner and her father namely-Sh. Sushil Verma (now deceased), to buy a flat bearing No. 703, B-2, plot No. 1, Sector-19, Dwarka, New Delhi (hereafter '**subject property**'), owned by Late Sh. Sushil Verma, and in furtherance of the same, she remitted a sum of Rs. 73,00,000/- by way of Demand Draft and



RTGS, in favour of Late Sh. Sushil Verma. It is alleged that, later it came to the knowledge of Respondent No. 2 that the title and possession of the subject property is disputed.

3. Undisputedly, the parties have settled all the disputes with the intervention of Delhi High Court Mediation and Conciliation Centre by way of Settlement Agreement dated 24.10.2024, on their own free will, without any fear, force, coercion, or undue influence.

4. The entire settlement amount as well as certain compensatory interest has been paid to the LR of the complainant whose statement was recorded by this Court, on the last date of hearing, i.e, 25.10.2024, that he has no objection if the proceedings are quashed.

5. Offences under Sections 406/420 of the IPC are compoundable in nature.

6. Keeping in view the nature of dispute and that the parties have amicably entered into a settlement, this Court feels that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the dispute alive and continuance of the proceedings would amount to abuse of the process of Court. I am of the opinion that this is a fit case to exercise discretionary jurisdiction under Section 482 of the CrPC.

7. However, keeping in mind the fact that the charge sheet has already been filed in the present case, and the State machinery has been put to motion, ends of justice would be served if the petitioner is put to cost.

8. In view of the above, FIR No. 122/2018 and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed, subject to payment of cost of ₹20,000/- (*Rupees Twenty Thousand only*) by the petitioner, to be deposited with the Delhi Police Welfare



Society, within a period of eight weeks from date.

9. Let the proof of deposit of cost be submitted with the concerned IO/SHO.
10. The present petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.
11. Pending applications also stand disposed of.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

NOVEMBER 26, 2024

“SS”